

Quiz 1

Econ 526 - Introduction to Econometrics

Instructor: Caio Vigo Pereira

Name:

SECTION A - MULTIPLE CHOICE

12% 1. Among the measures of association between two variables we have:

A. Median

- B. Variance
- C. Standard Deviation
- D. Correlation

12% 2. Let X be a discrete random variable. What is the following term?

$$\sum_{j=1}^{m} x_j f_{X|Y}(x_j|y)$$

- A. the conditional distribution of X given Y
- B. the joint distribution of X given Y
- C. the joint distribution of Y given X
- D. the conditional expectation of X given Y
- $\frac{12\%}{3}$ 3. For the past 3 months you verified that **every time** the price of stock A raised, the price of stock B dropped. Then, based on your data, what is the Corr(A, B)?
 - A. 1
 - B. -1
 - C. 0
 - D. 0.5

SECTION B - TRUE OR FALSE

- 12% 1. Let X and Y be two independent random variables, such that E[X] = 4, E[Y] = 5, Var[X] = 1 and Var[Y] = 2. Then Cov(X, Y) = 0. True \bigcirc False
- 12% 2. Let X and Y be two random variables. If Cov(X, Y) = 0, then X and Y are independent. \bigcirc True \bigcirc False

SECTION C - SHORT ANSWER

40%

1. Let X be a random variable and

$$\bar{X} = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{X_i}{n}$$

be its sample average. Show that the sum of the deviations from the sample average is always equal to 0, which means that $\sum_{i=1}^{n} (X_i - \bar{X}) = 0$.



Quiz 2 Econ 526 - Introduction to Econometrics

Instructor: Caio Vigo Pereira

Name:

SECTION B - TRUE OR FALSE

- 10% 1. Let Y_1, Y_2, \ldots, Y_n be i.i.d. random variables with mean μ , and variance σ^2 . The Central Limit Theorem (CLT) states that, for n large, $Z_n = \frac{\bar{Y}_n \mu}{\sigma/\sqrt{n}}$ will converge to a standard Normal distribution only if Y_1, Y_2, \ldots, Y_n has Normal distribution. \bigcirc True \bigcirc False
- 10%
 2. The Law of Large Numbers (LLN) states that the sample average of n independent and identically distributed random variables, for n large, follows a Normal distribution.

 O True
 O False
- 10%
 3. The Law of Large Number (LLN) is related with the concept of convergence in probability, while The Central Limit Theorem (CLT) is related with convergence in distribution.

 True
 False
- 10% 4. We say that an estimator is unbiased if it converges in probability to the true parameter. O True O False
- 10%5. Consistency of an estimator is related to its asymptotic properties, i.e., with the idea of what happens
to the estimator when the samples size n gets large. \bigcirc True \bigcirc False
- $\begin{array}{c|c} 10\% \end{array} \begin{array}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|} 6. \ \text{Let} \ Y_1, Y_2, \dots, Y_n \ \text{be i.i.d.} \ \text{random variables with mean } \mu, \ \text{and variance } \sigma^2. \ \text{Consider the following} \\ estimator: \ W = \frac{Y_1 + Y_2}{2}. \ \text{Then}, W \ \text{is an unbiased estimator of } \mu. \\ \bigcirc \ \text{True} \ \bigcirc \ \text{False} \end{array}$

SECTION C - SHORT ANSWER

- 40% 1. Suppose a researcher would like to know what is the mean hours per month Kansas residents spend commuting to work. In order to do that s/he **randomly drawn** 800 Kansas residents and tracked during a month the hours they spent commuting to work.
 - (a) What is the population of his/her problem? $[1 \mbox{ or } 2 \mbox{ line}(s) \mbox{ answer}]$
 - (b) What is the sample? [1 or 2 line(s) answer]
 - (c) What (populational) parameter s/he wants to know? [1 line answer]
 - (d) What estimator could s/he use to accomplish the task? [1 line answer]



Quiz 3 Econ 526 - Introduction to Econometrics

Instructor: Caio Vigo Pereira

Name:

SECTION A - MULTIPLE CHOICE

- 10% 1. If a change in variable x explains a change in a variable y. Then, the variable y is called:
 - A. dependent variable
 - B. predictor variable
 - C. explanatory variable
 - D. independent variable
- 10% 2. Nonexperimental data is also know as:
 - A. cross-sectional data
 - B. observational data
 - C. time series data
 - D. panel data

SECTION B - TRUE OR FALSE

- 10% 1. Depending if we either use the Method of Moments or the Least Squares Method to derive β_0 and β_1 of a simple regression model, we may get different estimators for both parameters. \bigcirc True \bigcirc False
- 10% 2. Regarding the association between the x and the error term in a simple linear regression model such as $y = \beta_0 + \beta_1 x + u$, if x and u are uncorrelated, then we have enough information to derive the estimators. \bigcirc True \bigcirc False
- 10% 3. In a simple linear regression model, the error term is related to the sample, while the residual is related to the population.
 - \bigcirc True \bigcirc False

SECTION C - SHORT ANSWER

1. Suppose you want to study the effects of the number of students per classroom in algebra courses and students' performance in algebra courses for high schools in Kansas. You collected a random sample and now you have data for the above two variables. You called them as *number_students* (which refers to the number of students per classroom in algebra courses), and *students_performance* (which refers to the students' performance in algebra courses - measured as their final grade in a scale from 0 to 4). Therefore, you want to know how *number_students* explains *students_performance*.

- 5% (a) What is the independent variable? [1 line answer maximum don't exceed it]
- 5% (b) What is the dependent variable? [1 line answer don't exceed it]
- 10% (c) Using the variables names, write the simple linear regression model. [1 line answer don't exceed it]
- $\frac{10\%}{}$ (d) Knowing that the OLS estimate for the intercept is 3.4, and for the slope is -0.02, write the estimated OLS regression line (or SRF) using the variables names. [1 line answer don't exceed it]
- 10% (e) What is the predicted value for whichever is your dependent variable for a classroom with 20 students? [1 line answer don't exceed it]
- 10% (f) What is the predicted effect on your dependent variable for each additional increment (i.e, when you increase one unit) of your independent variable? [up to 2 lines answer do not exceed it]



Quiz 4 Econ 526 - Introduction to Econometrics

Instructor: Caio Vigo Pereira

Name:

SECTION A - MULTIPLE CHOICE

Consider a random sample with the Grade Point Average (GPA) and standardized test scores (ACT), along with the performance in an introductory economics course, for students at a large public university. The variable to be explained is *score*, which is the final score in the course measured as a percentage. The variable *hsgpa* is the high school GPA, *actmth* is the ACT in math and *colgpa* is the college GPA of the student prior to take the economics course.

REGRESSION (A)

	Dependent variable:
	log(score)
hsgpa	0.2120***
	(0.0199)
Constant	3.5563***
	(0.0668)
Observations	856
R2	0.1174
Adjusted R2	0.1163
Residual Std. Error	0.1997 (df = 854)
F Statistic	113.5666*** (df = 1; 854)
Note:	*p<0.1; **p<0.05; ***p<0.01

12.5% 1. Based on the **Regression** (A) above, what is the effect on the dependent variable if hsgpa increases one unit?

- A. log(score) will increase 21.2%
- B. log(score) will increase 0.212%
- C. \widehat{score} will increase by 0.212 units
- D. \widehat{score} will increase 21.2%

	Dependent variable:
	log(score)
log(actmth)	0.5084***
	(0.0406)
Constant	2.6735***
	(0.1274)
Observations	814
R2	0.1616
Adjusted R2	0.1606
Residual Std. Error	0.1915 (df = 812)
F Statistic	156.4957*** (df = 1; 812)
Note:	*p<0.1; **p<0.05; ***p<0.01

REGRESSION (B)

12.5% 2. Based on the **Regression (B)** above, what is the effect on the dependent variable if *actmth* increases 10%?

- A. log(score) will increase 0.5084%
- B. $\widehat{log(score)}$ will increase 50.84%
- C. \widehat{score} will increase by 5.084 units
- D. \widehat{score} will increase 5.084%

REGRESSION (C)

	Dependent variable:
	score
colgpa	14.3155*** (0.6997)
Constant	32.3061*** (2.0049)
Observations R2 Adjusted R2 Residual Std. Error F Statistic	856 0.3289 0.3281 10.9842 (df = 854) 418.5822*** (df = 1; 854)
Note:	*p<0.1; **p<0.05; ***p<0.01

12.5% 3. Based on the **Regression (C)** above, what is the effect on the dependent variable if *colgpa* decreases 2 units? A. *score* will decrease by 28.631 units

- B. \widehat{score} will decrease 14.316%
- C. \widehat{score} will decrease 28.631%
- D. \widehat{score} will decrease by 7.158 units

- 4. The variable *colgpa* is a number from 0 to 4. Consider the case that you would like to transform the college GPA to a scale from 0 to 100. Thus, you create a new variable: *colgpa_scaled*, such that *colgpa_scaled* = $25 \cdot colpga$. Then you rerun the **Regression (C)** replacing *colgpa* by *colgpa_scaled*. What is your new $\hat{\beta}_1$?
 - A. $25 \cdot 14.3155$
 - B. $\frac{1}{25} \cdot 14.3155$
 - C. $\frac{100}{25} \cdot 14.3155$
 - D. $0.25 \cdot 14.3155$

SECTION B - TRUE OR FALSE

For all models below, assume that you have a random sample, and that (i) $Var(x) \neq 0$ and (ii) E(u|x) = 0 for any independent variable x.

- 10% 1. Consider the following regression model: $log(score) = \beta_0 + \beta_1 colgpa^3 + u$. Then this model is linear in parameters. \bigcirc True \bigcirc False
- 10% 2. Consider the following regression model: $log(score) = \beta_0 + \beta_1 log(colgpa) + u$. Then the OLS is an unbiased estimator for the true β_0 and β_1 . \bigcirc True \bigcirc False
- 10% 3. The following regression model: $log(score) = \beta_0 + \beta_1 log(hsgpa) + u$ is also known as constant percentage model. \bigcirc True \bigcirc False
- 10% 4. The following regression model: $log(score) = \beta_0 + \beta_1 colgpa + u$ is also known as constant elasticity model. \bigcirc True \bigcirc False

10% 5. In the following regression model: $log(score) = \beta_0 + \beta_1 log(colgpa) + u$, β_1 is the elasticity of *score* with respect to *hsgpa*. \bigcirc True \bigcirc False



Quiz 5 Econ 526 - Introduction to Econometrics

Instructor: Caio Vigo Pereira

Name:

SECTION A - MULTIPLE CHOICE

[Same dataset from Quiz 4] Consider a random sample with the Grade Point Average (GPA) and standardized test scores (ACT), along with the performance in an introductory economics course, for students at a large public university. The variable to be explained is *score*, which is the final score in the course measured as a percentage. The variable *hsgpa* is the high school GPA, *actmth* is the ACT in math and *colgpa* is the college GPA of the student prior to take the economics course.

	Dependent variable:
	log(score)
hsgpa	0.0274
	(0.0204)
log(actmth)	0.3082***
	(0.0388)
colgpa	0.1784***
	(0.0125)
Constant	2.7073***
	(0.1119)
Observations	814
R2	0.3704
Adjusted R2	0.3681
Residual Std. Error	0.1662 (df = 810)
F Statistic	158.8443*** (df = 3; 810)
Note:	*p<0.1; **p<0.05; ***p<0.01

12.5%

1. Based on the above, what is the effect on the dependent variable if colgpa increases one unit?

- A. log(score) will increase 17.8%
- B. log(score) will increase 1.78%
- C. \widehat{score} will increase by 0.178 units
- D. \widehat{score} will increase 17.8%

12.5% 2. Based on the above, what is the effect on the dependent variable if *actmth* increases 10%?

- A. log(score) will increase 3.08%
- B. $\widehat{log(score)}$ will increase 30.8%
- C. \widehat{score} will increase by 0.308 units
- D. \widehat{score} will increase 3.08%

12.5% 3. In order to find the OLS estimators for the true parameters β_0 , β_1 , β_2 and β_3 for the regression above, how many First Order Conditions do we have?

- A. 2
- B. 3
- C. 4
- D. 5

12.5% 4. Assume that hsgpa and log(actmth) are uncorrelated with u, but colgpa is correlated with u. Then:

- A. We say that *colgpa* is an endogenous explanatory variable, therefore $E(u|x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4) = 0$.
- B. We say that *colgpa* is an endogenous explanatory variable, therefore $E(u|x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4) \neq 0$.
- C. We say that *colgpa* is an exogenous explanatory variable, therefore $E(u|x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4) = 0$.
- D. We say that *colgpa* is an exogenous explanatory variable, therefore $E(u|x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4) \neq 0$.

SECTION B - TRUE OR FALSE

Consider a random sample with 1005 observations of house purchases in Kansas. Your dataset consists of the following variables (variable's name and variable description):

price paid in thousands of dollars
number of bedrooms
number of full bathrooms
number of half bathrooms
<pre>= number_fullbaths + number_halfbaths</pre>
crime rate in the neighborhood
lot size in square feet

12.5% 1. Consider the following regression model:

 $log(house_price) = \beta_0 + \beta_1 log(lot_size) + log(\beta_2)crime_rate + u$

where log() represents the natural logarithm. Then this model is linear in parameters. \bigcirc True \bigcirc False

12.5% 2. Consider the following regression model:

 $house_price = \beta_0 + \beta_1 number_bedrs + \beta_2 number_baths + \beta_3 number_fullbaths + \beta_4 number_halfbaths + u_1 + \beta_2 number_baths + \beta_3 number_fullbaths + \beta_4 number_halfbaths + u_2 + \beta_3 number_fullbaths + \beta_4 number_halfbaths + u_2 + \beta_4 number_halfbaths + u_3 + \beta_4 +$

Then this model suffers from perfect collinearity. \bigcirc True \bigcirc False

12.5% 3. Consider the following models:

 $Model 1: house_price = \beta_0 + \beta_1 number_bedrs + \beta_2 number_baths + u$

 $\label{eq:model2} \text{Model 2:} \quad house_price = \beta_0 + \beta_1 number_bedrs + \beta_2 number_baths + \beta_3 crime_rate + u$

Then, $R^2_{model1} > R^2_{model2}$. \bigcirc True \bigcirc False

12.5% 4. Consider the following regression model:

 $house_price = \beta_0 + \beta_1 number_bedrs + \beta_2 number_baths + u$

Knowing that $Corr(number_bedrs, number_baths) = 0.98$, then the OLS estimator is a biased estimator for the true parameters. \bigcirc True \bigcirc False



Quiz 6 Econ 526 - Introduction to Econometrics

Instructor: Caio Vigo Pereira

Name:

SECTION A - MULTIPLE CHOICE

Consider the following simple linear regression models, where x, z and h are different independent variables.

Model (A): $y = \beta_0 + \beta_1 x + u$ Model (B): $y = \beta_0 + \beta_1 z + u$ Model (C): $y = \beta_0 + \beta_1 h + u$

Assuming you have a random sample, below are the scatter plots of your sample:



- 10% 1. Which models present heteroskedastic errors?
 - A. (A) and (B)
 - B. (B) and (C)
 - C. (A) and (C)
 - D. Only (B)
- 10% 2. Assuming that E(u|x) = E(u|z) = E(u|h) = 0 hold, for which models the OLS estimator will be unbiased?
 - A. (A), (B) and (C) B. (A) and (C) only
 - C. Only (B)
 - D. Only (A)
- 10% 3. Assuming that E(u|x) = E(u|z) = E(u|h) = 0 hold, for which models the OLS estimator is more likely to be BLUE?
 - A. (A), (B) and (C)
 - B. (A) and (C) only
 - C. Only (B)
 - D. Only (A)

10%

10%

SECTION C - SHORT ANSWER

Consider a model relating the annual number of crimes on college campuses to the number of police officers and student enrollment. The econometric model is:

 $log(crime) = \beta_0 + \beta_1 police + \beta_2 log(enroll) + u$

where crime is total campus crimes, *police* is the number of employed officers and *enroll* is the total enrollment. The R output is:

	Dependent variable:
	log(crime)
police	0.0240*** (0.0073)
log(enroll)	0.9767*** (0.1373)
Constant	-4.3758*** (1.1990)
Observations R2 Adjusted R2 Residual Std. Error F Statistic	97 0.6277 0.6198 79.2389***
Note:	*p<0.1; **p<0.05; ***p<0.01

1. Below you can find additional information about this regression:

$$x_{1} = \text{police}$$

$$x_{2} = \log(\text{crime})$$

$$\sum_{i=1}^{97} (y_{i} - \hat{y}_{i})^{2} = 68.18$$

$$\sum_{i=1}^{97} (x_{i1} - \bar{x}_{i1})^{2} = 23,454.25$$

- (a) Under the assumption of homoskedastic errors, what is the variance of $\hat{\beta}_{police}$, i.e., what is the formula of $Var(\hat{\beta}_{police})$? [One line answer]
- (b) What is the estimator of the variance of u given x_1, x_2 , i.e., the estimator of $Var(u|x_1, x_2)$? [One line answer]

- 20% (c) Based on your answer above, find $\hat{\sigma}^2$.
- 10% (d) Based on your answer above, find $\hat{\sigma}$, i.e., the Residual Standard Error.
- 20% (e) Consider the following (additional) regression:

$$\widehat{police} = -93.798 + 12.187 \ log(enroll)$$

 $n = 97, \ R^2 = 0.4206$

What is the $se(\hat{\beta}_{police})$? Is the $se(\hat{\beta}_{police})$ presented in the regression output table correct?



The University of Kansas

Department of Economics

Quiz 7

Econ 526 - Introduction to Econometrics

Instructor: Caio Vigo Pereira

Name:

[Same dataset from Quiz 4 & 5] Consider a random sample with the Grade Point Average (GPA) and standardized test scores (ACT), along with the performance in an introductory economics course, for students at a large public university. The variable to be explained is *score*, which is the final score in the course measured as a percentage. The econometric model is:

 $log(score) = \beta_0 + \beta_1 hsgpa + \beta_2 log(actmth) + \beta_3 colgpa + u$

where hsgpa is the high school GPA, log(actmth) is the natural logarithm of the ACT in math and colgpa is the college GPA of the student prior to take the economics course. The R output is:

Regression	(A)	
------------	-----	--

	Dependent variable:					
	log(score)					
hsgpa	0.0274 (0.0204)					
	(0.0201)	Coefficients	z ·			
log(actmth)	0.3082 (0.0388)	(Intercept)	Estimate 2.70730 0.02741	Std. Error 0.11192 0.02037	t value ? ?	Pr(> t) < 2e-16 ?
colgpa	0.1784 (0.0125)	log(actmth) colgpa 	0.30816 0.17840	0.03881 0.01250	? ?	6.7e-15 < 2e-16
Constant	2.7073 (0.1119)					
Observations R2 Adjusted R2 Residual Std. Error F Statistic	814 0.3704 0.3681 0.1662 (df = 810) 158.8443 (df = 3; 810)					

SECTION A - MULTIPLE CHOICE

12% 1. Consider the **Regression** (A). Suppose you want to test whether $\beta_1 > 0$ (one-sided). What is $t_{\hat{\beta}_1}$ equal to? A. 0.7445

- B. 24.1939
- C. 1.3431
- D. 0.0413

- 12% 2. Consider the **Regression** (A) again. Suppose you want to test whether $\beta_1 = 0$ (two-sided). Evaluate the statements below and determine which one is correct.
 - A. We can reject H_0 at 5% significance level, but not at 1% significance level.
 - B. We can reject H_0 at 10% significance level, but not at 5% significance level.
 - C. We can reject H_0 at 1% significance level, but not at 0.1% significance level.
 - D. We cannot reject H_0 at any significance level less than or equal to 10%.
- 12% 3. Consider the **Regression** (A) again. Suppose you want to test whether β_3 is statistically significant. Evaluate the statements below and determine which one is correct.
 - A. $\hat{\beta}_3$ is statistically significant at 1% significance level.
 - B. $\hat{\beta}_3$ is NOT statistically significant at 1% significance level.
 - C. $\hat{\beta}_3$ is NOT statistically significant at 5% significance level.
 - D. $\hat{\beta}_3$ is NOT statistically significant at 10% significance level.
- 12% 4. Consider the **Regression** (A) again. Suppose you want to test whether β_2 is statistically significant. Evaluate the statements below and determine which one is correct.
 - A. $\hat{\beta}_2$ is statistically significant at 0.1% significance level.
 - B. $\hat{\beta}_2$ is statistically significant at 1% significance level.
 - C. $\hat{\beta}_2$ is statistically significant at 5% significance level.
 - D. All the above.
- 12% 5. Consider the **Regression (A)** again. Suppose you want to test whether the elasticity of *score* with respect *actmth* is unitary, i.e., equal to 1 or not. Evaluate the statements below and determine which one is correct.
 - A. We can NOT reject the null hypothesis at 2% significance level.
 - B. the t statistic provides no (or little) evidence against the null hypothesis at small significance levels (< 1%).
 - C. the t statistic provides evidence against the null hypothesis at small significance levels (< 1%).
 - D. $\hat{\beta}_2$ is NOT statistically different from 1 at 5% significance level.
- 12% 6. Assume that the Classical Linear Model (CLM) assumptions hold. As can be seen in the regression output,

 $\hat{\beta}_3 = 0.178$ and $se(\hat{\beta}_3) = 0.0125$. What is the distribution of $\frac{0.178 - \beta_3}{0.0125}$?

- A. t_{df} , where df = 3
- B. $F_{(3,810)}$
- C. $N(0, 0.0125^2)$
- D. t_{df} , where df = 810

SECTION B - TRUE OR FALSE

- 10% 1. The 95% confidence interval for β_1 is approximately [-0.013, 0.067]. \bigcirc True \bigcirc False
- 9% 2. Consider any multiple linear regression. Knowing that you can reject H_0 for a specific parameter at 1% significance level, then you should be able to reject the H_0 at 2% significance level. \bigcirc True \bigcirc False
- 9%3. Consider any multiple linear regression. Knowing that you can reject H_0 for a specific parameter at 1% significance
level, then you should be able to reject the H_0 at 0.1% significance level. \bigcirc True \bigcirc False

Standard Normal Distribution



	0.00	0.01	0.02	0.03	0.04	0.05	0.06	0.07	0.08	0.09
0.0	0.5000	0.5040	0.5080	0.5120	0.5160	0.5199	0.5239	0.5279	0.5319	0.5359
0.1	0.5398	0.5438	0.5478	0.5517	0.5557	0.5596	0.5636	0.5675	0.5714	0.5753
0.2	0.5793	0.5832	0.5871	0.5910	0.5948	0.5987	0.6026	0.6064	0.6103	0.6141
0.3	0.6179	0.6217	0.6255	0.6293	0.6331	0.6368	0.6406	0.6443	0.6480	0.6517
0.4	0.6554	0.6591	0.6628	0.6664	0.6700	0.6736	0.6772	0.6808	0.6844	0.6879
0.5	0.6915	0.6950	0.6985	0.7019	0.7054	0.7088	0.7123	0.7157	0.7190	0.7224
0.6	0.7257	0.7291	0.7324	0.7357	0.7389	0.7422	0.7454	0.7486	0.7517	0.7549
0.7	0.7580	0.7611	0.7642	0.7673	0.7704	0.7734	0.7764	0.7794	0.7823	0.7852
0.8	0.7881	0.7910	0.7939	0.7967	0.7995	0.8023	0.8051	0.8078	0.8106	0.8133
0.9	0.8159	0.8186	0.8212	0.8238	0.8264	0.8289	0.8315	0.8340	0.8365	0.8389
1.0	0.8413	0.8438	0.8461	0.8485	0.8508	0.8531	0.8554	0.8577	0.8599	0.8621
1.1	0.8643	0.8665	0.8686	0.8708	0.8729	0.8749	0.8770	0.8790	0.8810	0.8830
1.2	0.8849	0.8869	0.8888	0.8907	0.8925	0.8944	0.8962	0.8980	0.8997	0.9015
1.3	0.9032	0.9049	0.9066	0.9082	0.9099	0.9115	0.9131	0.9147	0.9162	0.9177
1.4	0.9192	0.9207	0.9222	0.9236	0.9251	0.9265	0.9279	0.9292	0.9306	0.9319
1.5	0.9332	0.9345	0.9357	0.9370	0.9382	0.9394	0.9406	0.9418	0.9429	0.9441
1.6	0.9452	0.9463	0.9474	0.9484	0.9495	0.9505	0.9515	0.9525	0.9535	0.9545
1.7	0.9554	0.9564	0.9573	0.9582	0.9591	0.9599	0.9608	0.9616	0.9625	0.9633
1.8	0.9641	0.9649	0.9656	0.9664	0.9671	0.9678	0.9686	0.9693	0.9699	0.9706
1.9	0.9713	0.9719	0.9726	0.9732	0.9738	0.9744	0.9750	0.9756	0.9761	0.9767
2.0	0.9772	0.9778	0.9783	0.9788	0.9793	0.9798	0.9803	0.9808	0.9812	0.9817
2.1	0.9821	0.9826	0.9830	0.9834	0.9838	0.9842	0.9846	0.9850	0.9854	0.9857
2.2	0.9861	0.9864	0.9868	0.9871	0.9875	0.9878	0.9881	0.9884	0.9887	0.9890
2.3	0.9893	0.9896	0.9898	0.9901	0.9904	0.9906	0.9909	0.9911	0.9913	0.9916
2.4	0.9918	0.9920	0.9922	0.9925	0.9927	0.9929	0.9931	0.9932	0.9934	0.9936
2.5	0.9938	0.9940	0.9941	0.9943	0.9945	0.9946	0.9948	0.9949	0.9951	0.9952
2.6	0.9953	0.9955	0.9956	0.9957	0.9959	0.9960	0.9961	0.9962	0.9963	0.9964
2.7	0.9965	0.9966	0.9967	0.9968	0.9969	0.9970	0.9971	0.9972	0.9973	0.9974
2.8	0.9974	0.9975	0.9976	0.9977	0.9977	0.9978	0.9979	0.9979	0.9980	0.9981
2.9	0.9981	0.9982	0.9982	0.9983	0.9984	0.9984	0.9985	0.9985	0.9986	0.9986
3.0	0.9987	0.9987	0.9987	0.9988	0.9988	0.9989	0.9989	0.9989	0.9990	0.9990

TABLE G.2	Critical	Values of the <i>t</i> Disti	ribution				
			Significance Level				
1-Tailed:		.10	.05	.025	.01	.005	
2-Tailed:		.20	.10	.05	.02	.01	
	1	3.078	6.314	12.706	31.821	63.657	
	2	1.886	2.920	4.303	6.965	9.925	
	3	1.638	2.353	3.182	4.541	5.841	
	4	1.533	2.132	2.776	3.747	4.604	
	5	1.476	2.015	2.571	3.365	4.032	
	6	1.440	1.943	2.447	3.143	3.707	
	7	1.415	1.895	2.365	2.998	3.499	
	8	1.397	1.860	2.306	2.896	3.355	
	9	1.383	1.833	2.262	2.821	3.250	
	10	1.372	1.812	2.228	2.764	3.169	
-	11	1.363	1.796	2.201	2.718	3.106	
D	12	1.356	1.782	2.179	2.681	3.055	
g	13	1.350	1.771	2.160	2.650	3.012	
r	14	1.345	1.761	2.145	2.624	2.977	
e	15	1.341	1.753	2.131	2.602	2.947	
s	16	1.337	1.746	2.120	2.583	2.921	
	17	1.333	1.740	2.110	2.567	2.898	
0 f	18	1.330	1.734	2.101	2.552	2.878	
I	19	1.328	1.729	2.093	2.539	2.861	
F	20	1.325	1.725	2.086	2.528	2.845	
r	21	1.323	1.721	2.080	2.518	2.831	
e	22	1.321	1.717	2.074	2.508	2.819	
d	23	1.319	1.714	2.069	2.500	2.807	
0	24	1.318	1.711	2.064	2.492	2.797	
m	25	1.316	1.708	2.060	2.485	2.787	
	26	1.315	1.706	2.056	2.479	2.779	
	27	1.314	1.703	2.052	2.473	2.771	
	28	1.313	1.701	2.048	2.467	2.763	
	29	1.311	1.699	2.045	2.462	2.756	
	30	1.310	1.697	2.042	2.457	2.750	
	40	1.303	1.684	2.021	2.423	2.704	
	60	1.296	1.671	2.000	2.390	2.660	
	90	1.291	1.662	1.987	2.368	2.632	
	120	1.289	1.658	1.980	2.358	2.617	
	∞	1.282	1.645	1.960	2.326	2.576	

t-distribution

Source: Wooldridge, Jeffrey M. Introductory Econometrics, 2015.